

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,685	03/25/2002	David Horne	DYOUP218US	7427
26710 7:	590 04/13/2004		EXAMINER	
QUARLES & BRADY LLP 411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE			JACKSON, ANDRE L	
SUITE 2040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUKEE	WI 53202-4497		3677	

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	cof .
Advisory Action	09/889,685	HORNE ET AL.	,
,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andre' L. Jackson	3677	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of ApperExamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the supplication of the su	cation. A proper report can place the application of the capplication of the capplicat	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate extension of the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal		
_ ' '		(aca NOTE balaw).	
(a)		(see NOTE below),	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application	• •	erially reducing or	simplifying the
issues for appeal; and/or			,
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.
NOTE: <u>See 10 Below</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>13-15</u> would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	: .		
Claim(s) allowed: <u>13-15</u> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 8-11.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_		
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	ant	110	
	Supervisory F	y Knight At ent Exam iner	
6. Patent and Trademark Office	Li Circu	p3600	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Application No.

Continuation of 10. Other: The new issue that would require further consideration is applicant's amendment to independent claim 1. In particular, the added or underscored limitations in lines 4 and 11 were not required by the prior art relied upon to anticipate applicant's claim(s)..